THE PROFILE OF LACTATE, ALBUMIN, AND LACTATE/ALBUMIN RATIO AS PREDICTORS OF MORTALITY IN SEPSIS PATIENTS
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Abstract. Background. Oxygenation disturbances in sepsis patients may cause lactate levels increase which is proportional to the severity of the inflammation, followed by decrease in albumin levels. Combination of these two parameters is expected to be predictor of mortality in patients with sepsis. The aim of this study is to investigate the profile of lactate, albumin, and lactate/albumin ratio as mortality predictors in patient with sepsis. Methods. This prospective cohort study was conducted in the ICU of dr. Saiful Anwar Hospital, Malang, from January to May 2019. Subjects were 82 patients with sepsis (SOFA score > 2). Lactate and albumin levels were measured on the first day of hospitalization. Lactate levels were examined by colorimetric method, albumin was examined by BCG method. The instrument used was Cobas 501. Comparison was carried out using the T-Test/Mann—Whitney test. Prediction of mortality risk was done using relative risk (RR) determination. Results. Significant difference was observed in albumin levels between sepsis patients who survived and who died (p = 0.045). No significant differences were observed in lactate levels and lactate/albumin ratio between sepsis patients who survived and who died (p = 0.211, 0.119, respectively). Relative risks were 3.034 for lactate, 3.667 for albumin, and 4.400 for lactate/albumin ratio. Conclusion. In patients with sepsis, albumin level is the best variable in predicting mortality, followed by lactate/albumin ratio and lactate value. Further study that implements repeated measurement of lactate and albumin in 6 and 12 hours is required to better predict the mortality of sepsis patients.

Key words: lactate, albumin, lactate/albumin ratio, mortality, sepsis, prognosis.
Introduction

Sepsis is a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by an unregulated host response to infection [14]. Sepsis, including severe sepsis and septic shock, is a major health problem and one of the leading causes of death. It is estimated that sepsis occurs in 30 million people worldwide each year and has the potential to cause 6 million deaths. The mortality rate due to sepsis is approximately 6% of all causes of death in hospital. Given the high mortality rate, it is important to determine the prognosis in septic patients, to determine subsequent management [7]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop biomarkers that can be used as predictors of mortality in septic patients.

Several studies have shown that lactate levels are a reliable parameter in predicting prognosis in septic patients [7]. Lactate levels may increase in septic patients through several mechanisms [4]. Low peripheral oxygenation in septic patients leads to anaerobic glycolysis which leads to lactate production. In clinical practice, lactate levels are commonly used to detect tissue hypoxia. However, elevated lactate levels seem to reflect more than just tissue hypoxia. Hyperlactatemia is also found in septic patients with normal tissue oxygenation who experience excessive Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase stimulation, where activation of Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase will cause release of lactate from muscle tissue [7]. Mitochondrial insufficiency in metabolizing pyruvate caused by stress can also cause an increase in lactate in septic patients [4]. However, the source, clearance and metabolic function of lactate in sepsis are still not well known [1].

Other than elevated lactate, a study by Magnussen et al. showed that in septic patients, there was a decrease in albumin [8]. Albumin is an acute phase protein produced in the liver [7]. Decreased albumin levels have been linked to various chronic conditions such as liver failure, malnutrition, or enteropathy. However, studies in critically ill patients have shown that albumin levels are more of an indicator of inflammation reflecting the severity of inflammation rather than a marker of nutritional status [7, 8]. This supports the suggestion that albumin may serve as an additional parameter as predictors of mortality and prognosis in septic patients [7].

Both lactate and albumin are parameters that can independently predict mortality. The combination of the two is expected to increase the predictive value of mortality in septic patients. The aim of this study is to determine the profile of lactate, albumin, and lactate/albumin ratio as predictors of mortality in septic patients.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted in a prospective cohort study design. The research was conducted at the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) of Dr. Saiful Anwar General Hospital, Malang, from January to May 2019. The subjects involved in this study were 82 patients who were treated in ICU and met the criteria for sepsis (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score/SOFA score > 2). Inclusion criteria in this study were age > 16 years, SOFA score > 2. While the exclusion criteria were patients who had received albumin therapy. The study was carried out after obtaining approval from the ethical committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya/Dr. Saiful Anwar General Hospital, Malang.

Lactate and albumin levels were checked from serum samples taken on the first day the patients were received albumin therapy.
admitted. Lactate levels were checked by colorimetric method using Cobas 501, expressed in mmol/L. While albumin levels were checked by colorimetric method bromocresol green (BCG) using Cobas 501, expressed in g/dL. The ratio of lactic acid/albumin was calculated from the results of the examination of lactate levels divided by albumin levels.

Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 25 software. Comparison test was done using the T-test/Mann–Whitney test. P level < 0.05 considered as significance. The prediction of the risk of mortality was done by determining Relative Risk (RR), while the cut-off value was determined using previous research data.

**Results**

There were 82 subjects participating in this study, 22 (26.8%) of them were discharged and 60 (73.2%) died. Complete lactate and albumin levels were only found in 58 subjects, which were then analyzed in this study. Of 58 subjects, 13 (22.4%) were discharged, and 45 (77.6%) died. All subjects underwent the albumin and lactate examinations once when admission. The characteristic of study subjects according to age and sex in the group of patients who were discharged and died are shown in the Table. Furthermore, the comparison test was carried out with the T-test/Mann–Whitney test, and the p-values were 0.025 and 0.065, respectively.

There was a significant difference in albumin levels between septic patients who were discharged and died with p levels of 0.045. There were no significant differences in lactate levels, as well as lactate/albumin ratio in septic patients who were discharged and died with p values of 0.211 and 0.119, respectively (Table).

The cut off value determined for lactate levels, albumin levels and lactate/albumin ratio variables were 4.00 mmol/L, 2.45 g/dL and 1.32, respectively. With this cut off value, the RR was 3.034 for lactate/albumin ratio.

**Discussion**

The results showed that the median of lactate levels in patients who died was higher than in patients who were discharged. Lactate levels in the group of patients who died were in the range of 1.60–8.20 mmol/L. Of the 13 patients who were discharged, 9 (69.2%) had high lactate levels (> 2 mmol/L). In contrast, of the 45 patients who died, 6 (13.3%) had normal lactate levels (< 2.0 mmol/L). These results correspond with the study conducted by Van Beest et al. that lactate level is higher in those patients who died rather than those who discharged [6]. These results also correspond with the study conducted by Nichol et al. which showed that not only hyperlactatemia (> 2.0 mmol/L), but relative hyperlactatemia and lactate levels in the upper normal range, were also associated with increased mortality [11, 12].

Although the mean lactate level was higher in patients who died than in patients who were discharged, there was no significant difference between the two groups. These results can be explained as follows: 1) not every hyperlactatemia is associated with acidosis, which is an important contributor to poor prognosis [19]. Lactic acidosis is better at predicting mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock, compared with hyperlactatemia. Acid-base status needs to be considered in predicting the prognosis of septic patients when using serum lactate levels [6]; 2) the mechanisms that cause hyperlactatemia may play an important role in predicting mortality, rather than the hyperlactatemia itself. Lactate levels depend not only on lactate production but also on its clearance. It is not known which mechanism is more important in the prediction of mortality [12, 19], study by Haas et al. demonstrated that severe hyperlactatemia correlates with ICU mortality, particularly if lactate clearance does not occur within 12 hours of admission [3]; 3) comorbidities, such as renal fail-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Survived</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>RR*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount of subjects</strong></td>
<td>13 (22.4%)</td>
<td>45 (77.6%)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>3.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (year old)</strong></td>
<td>45.85±16.58</td>
<td>56.75±14.50</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>3.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td>4 (12.9%)</td>
<td>27 (87.1%)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>4 (12.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td>9 (33.3%)</td>
<td>18 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>9 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lactate levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>3.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 4 mmol/L</td>
<td>11 (27.5%)</td>
<td>29 (72.5%)</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>3.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 4 mmol/L</td>
<td>2 (11.1%)</td>
<td>16 (88.9%)</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>3.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Albumin levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean±SD</strong></td>
<td>3.02±0.56</td>
<td>2.68±0.52</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>3.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>2.68–3.36</td>
<td>2.53–2.84</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>3.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2.45 g/dL</td>
<td>11 (28.9%)</td>
<td>27 (71.1%)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>3.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2.45 g/dL</td>
<td>2 (11.1%)</td>
<td>16 (88.9%)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>3.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lactate/albumin ratio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean±SD</strong></td>
<td>1.01±0.59</td>
<td>1.35±0.70</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>4.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
<td>0.66–1.37</td>
<td>1.14–1.56</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>4.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.32</td>
<td>11 (30.6%)</td>
<td>25 (69.4%)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>4.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1.32</td>
<td>2 (9.1%)</td>
<td>20 (90.9%)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>4.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *RR — relative risk; SD — standard deviation. † — results in mmol/L. ‡ — results in g/dL.
ure, may also have an additional role in the incidence of mortality [19].

However, the results of this study indicate that lactate levels > 4.0 mmol/L have an RR value of 3.034 in predicting mortality in septic patients. These results are consistent with the study conducted by Thomas-Rueddel et al. which showed that at lactate levels of > 4.0 mmol/L, an OR of 3.0 was obtained in predicting mortality 28 days after treatment in septic patients [18]. The study by Mikkelsen et al. also showed that moderate (2.0–3.9 mmol/L) and severe (> 4.0 mmol/L) increase in lactate levels can predict mortality by 2.05–3.27 times and 4.87 times in patients with sepsis [9]. The study by Hasegawa et al. showed that an increase in lactate levels can predict mortality within 90 days in septic patients with Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation (DIC) with an Odds Ratio (OR) of 2.31, but not in the group of septic patients without DIC.

Dynamic changes in lactate levels are better than single lactate assays in predicting outcome in critically ill patients [11]. Normalization of lactate levels suggests an improvement in tissue oxygenation and aerobic metabolism [1, 19]. The study conducted by Nguyen et al. showed that high lactate clearance in septic patients occurring within the first 6 hours, has been shown to be associated with a reduction in 60-day mortality. Lactate clearance occurred in 38% of the patient group who survived. Whereas in the group of patients who died, lactate clearance was only obtained by 12% [10]. However, lactate clearance could not be assessed in this study because serial lactate levels were not examined.

Lower mean albumin levels were found in patients who died than in patients who were discharged, with a significant difference between the two groups. This result corresponds with studies by Gupta et al. and Takegawa et al. which showed that the mortality in patients with sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock with hypalbuminemia was higher than without hypoalbuminemia [2, 16]. The study by Yin et al. also showed that 28-day survival rate in patients with serum albumin < 2.92 g/dL was lower than in patients with serum albumin > 2.92 g/dL [21]. In ICU patients, serum albumin can be used as a clinical prognostic predictor, although its levels may also reflect an acute phase response. The decrease in albumin levels is in line with the increase in pulmonary vascular permeability, which may play a role in mortality. Decrease in albumin that occurs within 1–3 days has a higher mortality risk than the decrease in albumin that occurs within 7–14 days [16]. In addition to affecting vascular permeability, patients with low serum albumin levels are more likely to experience infection from abdominal/pelvic sources, acute kidney or liver damage, septic shock, and generally have a higher APACHE II and SOFA score [21].

The study by Qian et al. showed that the optimal cut off value of albumin which indicates a poor outcome is < 2.45 g/dL, where the albumin level can predict both short and long term mortality in patients with septic shock [13]. The result corresponds with this study which showed that albumin levels (< 2.45 g/dL) is a good predictor of mortality with a RR value of 3.667.

Kendall H. investigated baseline albumin levels at presentation, the trends in albumin decline and the lowest albumin levels in relation to predictors of mortality in septic patients. The results showed that the mortality rate in septic patients with initial albumin levels < 2.45 g/dL was 63.4%. The mortality rate became 70.6% if there is a decrease in albumin levels. The lowest albumin level is the best predictor of mortality, compared to the initial albumin level and the decreasing trend in albumin [5]. The examination of serial albumin levels was not carried out in this study, so the trend of decreasing albumin and the lowest albumin levels cannot be evaluated.

There was a higher lactate/albumin ratio in patients who died than in patients who were discharged. However, there was no significant difference between the two groups. The optimal cutoff value of lactate/albumin ratio in the study conducted by Shin et al. was 1.32 [15]. In this study, the cut-off value could be used as a predictor of mortality in septic patients with an RR of 4.400. The study by Wang et al. showed similar results where the lactate/albumin ratio on the first day of admission was higher in the multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) patient group (median of 2.295) compared to the group of patients without MODS (median of 1.550). The lactate/albumin ratio can predict mortality with AUC of 0.84 [20]. These results also correspond with the study by Shin et al. and Thapa et al. which showed that lactate/albumin ratio can predict mortality within 28 days, with AUC of 0.69 and 0.90 [15, 17]. Lactate/albumin ratio is better than lactate levels in predicting mortality in septic patients [15], according to the study.

The results of this study indicate that the lactate/albumin ratio is the best predictor of mortality, followed by albumin levels and lactate levels. Limitations of this study include: 1) bias from the variation of therapy is an uncontrollable factor. For example, administration of epinephrine, metformin, nucleoside analog, high volume hemofiltration with lactate-buffered fluid, will cause an increase in lactate levels [12]; 2) comorbidity is also an uncontrollable factor in this study; 3) lactate and albumin examination was only performed once on the first day of admission so the normalization of lactate levels and the trend of decreasing albumin levels could not be evaluated.

In septic patients, the albumin level is the best predictor of mortality, followed by lactate/albumin...
ratio and lactate level. Further study is needed with 6 and 12 hours of lactate and albumin serial examination, so that the prediction of mortality in septic patients can be better.
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